Elements of Morphology: Standard Terminology for the Hands and Feet
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An international group of clinicians working in the field of dysmorphology has initiated the standardization of terms used to describe human morphology. The goals are to standardize these terms and reach consensus regarding their definitions. In this way, we will increase the utility of descriptions of the human phenotype and facilitate reliable comparisons of findings among patients. Discussions with other workers in dysmorphology and related fields, such as developmental biology and molecular genetics, will become more precise. Here we introduce the anatomy of the hands and feet and define and illustrate the terms that describe the major characteristics of the hands and feet. Published 2009 Wiley-Liss, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

This paper is part of a series of six papers defining the morphology of regions of the human body [Carey et al., 2009; Hall et al., 2009; Hennekam et al., 2009; Hunter et al., 2009; Allanson et al., 2009b]. The series is accompanied by an introductory article describing general aspects of this study [Allanson et al., 2009a]. The reader is encouraged to consult the introduction when using the definitions.

An early classification of limb anomalies is that of Isidore Geoffrey Saint-Hilaire (secondary citation, not reviewed). This classification divided anomalies into three categories (phocoméle, hemiméle, and ectroméle). A more recent classification is that by Frantz and O’Rahilly [1961]. Modifications of this scheme were implemented by others [Swanson et al., 1968; Swanson, 1976]. These schemes are hierarchical classifications and most do not include definitions of the terms.

The similar, but distinct, system of Temtamy and McKusick [1969] was developed. Most of the seven categories that they defined were subdivided into syndromic and non-syndromic forms. While this approach is clearly useful when the goal is to define distinct diagnostic entities, it is not appropriate for a purely descriptive endeavor. The Temtamy and McKusick system focuses on terms for commonly observed patterns of anomalies, not individual structures. Findings that do not conform to commonly recognized patterns cannot be described by their system.

Poznanski [1984] lists a glossary of terms used to describe abnormalities and variants of the hands (his text does not address the foot). These definitions are insufficient for a terminological effort such as is undertaken here. However, some are a starting point and others are listed below as being replaced by a more precise term. Finally, the textbook by Aase [1990] includes a number of definitions that were also useful.
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General Descriptors and Background for the Terms

1. The use of the word “digit” versus “finger” and “toe” is problematic. “Finger” is usually specific to digits 2–5 of the hand and “toe” to digits 2–5 of the foot whereas “digit” is a more general term that can be used to describe any finger or toe. We generally use the word “finger” to be distinct from “thumb” (pollex, although this latter term is used rarely in English) and “toe” is distinct from “hallux” (which, oddly enough, is more commonly used in English than is “great toe”). It is important for the user to read the definition to understand the context in which the term “finger” or “toe” is used. We recognize that some languages do not use a term equivalent to “finger” and “toe” and instead use a modifier of digit (e.g., dita della mano). The term “ray” is a yet more general term that can refer to a finger, toe, thumb, or hallux, but it also includes metacarpals/tarsals, whereas “digit,” “thumb,” “hallux,” “finger,” and “toe” only refers to the phalangeal segments of the ray. The term “ray” is little used in this terminology.

2. The proximo-distal axis of the digits is specified according to the name of the underlying bones, for examples, the portion of the finger overlying the distal phalangeal bone is the distal phalanx of the finger.

3. The digits are numbered 1–5 (or 6 or more in patients with polydactyly) from the radial/tibial side of the limb, starting with thumb/pollex (great toe/hallux) to little finger/toe; “F” denotes that they are fingers, “T” denotes toes. It is acknowledged that identifying the thumb as “F1” contradicts the notion that this digit is designated as “thumb” instead of “first finger”, but for simplicity this has been used. In the case of polydactyly, if one can determine the origin of the duplicated digit (e.g., for a partially duplicated thumb where a relatively small digit emanates from the distal first metacarpal, and it is clearly a partially duplicated thumb and not an index finger, the duplicated digit is sub-labeled A and B, with A used for the more anterior (radial/tibial) sub-digit. In this case, the six digits are numbered F1A, F1B, F2, F3, F4, and F5. If the origin of the supernumerary hand digit cannot be clearly ascertained, the digits are labeled F1, F2, . . . , F6. We recognize that the latter scheme leads to issues comparing pre- and postoperative digit identifiers.

4. Joint specification: interphalangeal joints = IP] (which is a general term for fingers and toes but is a specific term for the normal thumb and hallux, as they have only a single IP]) with use of the more specific terms distal IP] (DIP) for the joint between the middle and distal phalanges and proximal IP] (PIP) for the joint between the middle and proximal phalanges of any triphalangeal digit. The metacarpal-phalangeal joint is abbreviated as the MCPJ and MTPJ is used for the metatarsal-phalangeal joint.

5. Fingertip. The distal segment of the digit overlying the distal phalanx of the finger and including the nail, and dorsal and ventral surfaces.

6. Descriptions should specify bilateral vs. right or left for laterally paired structures. We do not specify terms for findings of asymmetry. Instead, we endorse the approach of defining a body part as small or large, which is less ambiguous and reduces the number of terms that must be defined.

7. The axes of the limb are specified as per embryological terminology. Anterior–Posterior (A/P) (from the thumb/great toe to the little finger/small toe), Dorsal–Ventral (D/V) (from the back of the hand/top of the foot to the palm/sole), and Proximo-Distal (P/D) (from the shoulder/hip to the fingers/toes). Note that common medical usage often substitutes the terms “preaxial” for anterior and “postaxial” for posterior.

8. There are some definitions where one term applies only to the feet and a similar second term applies to the hands. For others, a single term for all limbs may be used with a modifier for “feet” or “hands,” as appropriate. The terms were set out this way primarily for convenience, as some of the terms, definitions, and comments are cumbersome or awkward if one tries to define them in terms of both hand and foot features. In some cases, the definitions were substantially different owing to distinct anatomic features of the hand or foot.

9. For a few of the features, the position of the patient is important (e.g., pes planus). In those cases, the positioning of the patient is discussed in the comments for the term.

10. Note that radiographs are not used in this terminology. See Allanson et al. [2009b] for a discussion of this issue.

11. The terms are organized alphabetically in three sections (“Hands and Feet”, “Creases”, and “Nails”). We use the singular form as the default for all terms. For some terms, the singular form cannot exist (e.g., Cutaneous syndactyly of the toes). In such cases, the singular and plural forms of a word are mixed (e.g., “Toe” and “Toes”), alphabetized by the next word of the term. No attempt was made to hierarchically organize the terms. The primary or preferred form of each term appears in the paper in bold and italic font, except within the comments section for that term.

DEFINITIONS

Hands and Feet

Acheiria: See Hand, absent

Acromicria: See Hand, small

Adactyly

Definition: The absence of all phalanges of all digits of a limb and the associated soft tissues (Fig. 1). objective

Comment: This descriptor does not require absence of the metacarpal or metatarsal bones. A qualifying phrase is added to specify which limb has the attribute of adactyly.

Replaces: Aplangy

Amniotic band: See Digital constriction ring

Aphalangy: See Adactyly

Apodia: See Foot, absent

Arachnodactyly: See Finger, long; Toe, long; Finger, slender; Toe, slender
Arch, dropped or fallen: See *Pes planus*

Arch, high: See *Pes cavus*

Brachytelephalangy: See *Finger, short distal phalanx of; Toe, short distal phalanx of*

**Camptodactyly**

**Definition:** The DIPJ and/or PIPJ of the fingers cannot be extended to 180° by either active or passive extension (Fig. 2). *subjective*

**Comment:** Note that some restrict the use of the term to inability to extend the PIP joint of the fifth finger. We do not restrict the term in this way. This term should not be used if the patient has *Clenched hand.* A similar effect can be created by radial angulation within the distal phalanx with epiphyseal thickening, which is called Kirner deformity or dystelephalangy [Ozonoff, 1992]. The affected digits should be specified.

**Replaces:** Streblodactyly

**Clubbing**

**Definition:** Broadening of the soft tissues (non-edematous swelling of soft tissues) of the digital tips in all dimensions associated with an increased longitudinal and lateral curvature of the nails (Fig. 4). *subjective*

**Comment:** This definition does not use specification of nail plate angles for several reasons. First, there is no agreement on which angle should be measured. Second, there are no known data to
establish the range of normality. Third, clinicians rarely perform such measurements. Clubbed fingers are often described as resembling the end of drumsticks. The affected digits should be specified as described in the introductory comments. If no subtype identifier is specified, it is assumed that all digits are involved. Although increased soft tissue is the sole or major component of clubbing, some cases may be associated with bone spurs or modest overgrowth of the distal phalanx. As this is not relevant to the clinical (non-radiographic) assessment of clubbing, it is not specified in the definition of this term.

Clubhand: See Hand, radial deviation of; Hand, ulnar deviation of

Digit: See various terms under “finger” or “toe” instead of digit

**Digit Pad, Prominent**

**Definition:** A soft tissue prominence of the ventral aspects of the fingertips or toe tips (Fig. 5). *subjective*

**Comment:** The prominence of the finger or toe pads varies throughout life, being greater in neonates and dependent on the state of hydration. This term should not be used if the digit has clubbing. Note that the synonym “fetal fingertip pads” should not be interpreted to mean that the prominent pad necessarily was present in this individual since fetal life. We do not endorse the use of the term “Persistent fetal pads” as this term implies knowledge of the natural history of this finding, which may or may not be known.

**Synonym:** Fetal finger/toe tip pads; Fetal finger/toe tip pads

**Replaces:** Persistent fetal pads

**Digital Constriction Ring**

**Definition:** A narrow segment of significantly reduced circumference of a digit (Fig. 6). *subjective*

**Comment:** The description should specify the hand and digit that is affected and the approximate location of the band relative to the phalanges. It may be described as partial, if it does not involve the entire circumference of the digit.

**Replaces:** Amniotic bands

Ectodactyly: See Foot, split; Hand, split

Fetal fingertip pads: See Digit pad, prominent

**Finger, Absent**

**Definition:** The absence of all phalanges of a digit of the hand and the associated soft tissues (Fig. 7). *objective*

**Comment:** This term does not require absence of the metacarpal. The affected digits should be specified. This definition excludes *Partial absence of the finger.* Note that it can be challenging to number the missing digit if it is a central digit that is missing. In these cases, it is appropriate to modify the term by adding the phrase “non-thumb.” In contrast, it will be obvious that the thumb is present or absent. It may also be difficult to distinguish an absent finger from two digits with an extreme degree of osseous and cutaneous syndactyly. If a patient is missing all digits of a limb, the term *Adactyly* should be used. The term oligodactyly may be used as a synonym, but only in situations where the missing digit is not specified. For example, to state that a patient has Oligodactyly F5 is awkward because the oligodactyly refers to the digits that are present and the F5 refers to the digit that is absent, which could become confusing with higher order deficiencies. If a digit that cannot be identified is missing, the term can be modified with a cardinal number, for example, “Absent fingers, two.”

**Replaces:** Hypodactyly

**Synonym:** Oligodactyly

---

**FIG. 5.** Prominent digit pads, right hand, F3,4. Note that this patient also has Clubbing and a Single transverse palmar crease.

**FIG. 6.** Digital constriction rings, left hand, F1–5, near MCPJ.

**FIG. 7.** Finger, absent, right hand. Note here that the identity of the missing digit is not specified, as there are no clinical data to allow this to be determined. See also Figure 68.
**Finger, Broad**

**Definition**: Increased width of a non-thumb digit of the hand (Fig. 8). *subjective*

**Comment**: Note that the girth may be increased in a broad finger, but this must be distinguished from Macroductyly, because there the length is also increased. This distinction can be subtle. This term should not be used when the increased width is limited to the distal phalanges, instead use Broad fingertips. The affected digit should be specified by the numbering scheme in the introduction. This term is not used for the first digit, see Broad thumbs. When a thumb and one or more fingers are affected, it may be more economical to specify “Broad fingers, F1–5” instead of separately specifying “Broad thumb” and “Broad fingers F2–5.”

**Synonym**: Wide fingers

**Replaces**: Pachyductyly; Thick fingers

---

**Fingers, Cutaneous Syndactyly of**

**Definition**: A soft tissue continuity in the A/P axis between two fingers that extends distally to at least the level of the PIPJ (Fig. 9). *subjective OR*

A soft tissue continuity in the A/P axis between two fingers that lies significantly distal to the flexion crease that overlies the metacarpophalangeal joint of the adjacent fingers. *subjective*

**Comment**: We have set an arguably arbitrary threshold to distinguish the objective from the subjective finding. While severe degrees of cutaneous syndactyly are clearly objective, more subtle degrees are subjective. We set this threshold to distinguish these two situations. The digits (or parts of) are joined together by tissue that is not normally present between the digits at that point in the P/D axis. A modifier of “complete” may be used if the cutaneous syndactyly extends to the distal end of the nail bed of the digits. The affected digits should be specified. Note that the unqualified term “syndactyly” is no longer allowed as it is unclear whether this refers to bony or cutaneous syndactyly.

**Replaces**: Syndactyly (without adjective); Zygodactyly

---

**Fingers, Long**

**Definition**: The middle finger is more than 2 SD above the mean for newborns 27–41 weeks EGA or above the 97th centile for children from birth to 16 years of age AND the five digits retain their normal length proportions relative to each other (i.e., it is not the case that the middle finger is the only lengthened digit) (Fig. 10). *subjective OR*

Fingers that appear disproportionately long compared to the palm of the hand. *subjective*

**Comment**: The first definition is recognized to be problematic, because it implies that the other fingers are all as relatively long as is the middle finger. As the determination of the proportionality of the other four digits is clearly subjective, the term must be regarded as subjective. The term arachnodactyly has been abandoned as it is bundled (narrow and long digits) and is sometimes used for only narrow or only long digits. The term “long hand” should not be
used, as it is a bundled definition of two readily separable terms, *Long fingers*, and *Long palm*. If only a subset of the digits of a limb is lengthened, the affected digits should be specified.

**Replaces:** Arachnodactyly

**Finger, narrow:** See *Finger, slender*

**Fingers, Overlapping**

**Definition:** A finger resting on the dorsal surface of an adjacent digit when the hand is at rest (Fig. 11). *objective*

**Comment:** This descriptor is ordered depending on which digits are involved (see figure legend for examples). The affected digits should be specified. The ordering of the numbers specifies which digit is dorsal, that is, with dorsum of the hand facing upward the digit on top is/are recorded first separated by a comma from the digit that is/are overlapped. Fingers that are laterally deviated, but do not rest on top of adjacent fingers should be coded as *Clinodactyly*.

**Finger, Partial Absence of**

**Definition:** The absence of a phalangeal segment of a finger (Fig. 12). *objective*

**Comment:** The part that is absent may be specified. The “distal” modifier specifies the loss of the distal phalanx; clinically this is defined by the absence of the nail. The “proximal” modifier specifies the loss of the proximal or middle phalanx with the nail still present and/or the radiographic finding of a remaining phalanx that is similar to a distal phalanx. It may be difficult to determine which phalanx is absent without X-rays and even then, there are circumstances where the missing bone may not be exactly identified (note that no attempt is made to distinguish missing middle from proximal phalanges). In this situation the location adjective will have to be removed. This finding is distinct from *Short fingers*.

**Replaces:** Hypophalangy

**Finger, Radial Deviation of**

**Definition:** Angulation of a digit toward the anterior axis (radial side) of the limb (Fig. 13). *subjective*

**Comment:** The deviation is at the MCPJ. The affected digits should be specified. This finding is distinct from *Clinodactyly*.
Finger, Short

**Definition:** The middle finger is more than 2 SD below the mean for newborns 27–41 weeks EGA or below the 3rd centile for children from birth to 16 years of age AND the five digits retain their normal length proportions relative to each (i.e., it is not the case that the middle finger is the only shortened digit) (Fig. 14). *subjective*

**Comment:** This is an acknowledged bundled term as the definition in most anthropometric sources assumes that the other fingers are all as relatively short as is the middle finger. As the determination of the proportionality of the other four digits is clearly subjective, the term must be regarded as subjective. The Bell classification of brachydactyly (summarized in Temtamy and McKusick [1969]) is a complex assessment of hand patterning—that usage of the word “brachydactyly” is independent of the use here. When “Brachydactyly type x” is used, this refers to the Bell classification patterns. When *Brachydactyly of the hand* is used, it solely refers to reduced length of the specified digits. “Short hand” should not be used as it is a bundled definition of *Short fingers* and *Short palm*. If the distal phalanges are judged to be proportionately shortened, the additional term of *Short distal phalanges of the fingers* should not be used. If the digit is short overall and the distal phalanx is disproportionately shorter than are the finger overall, then both terms should be used.

**Synonym:** Brachydactyly of the hand

**Finger, Short Distal Phalanx of**

**Definition:** Short distance from the end of the finger to the most distal interphalangeal crease or DIPJ flexion point (Fig. 15). *subjective*

**Comment:** This term differs from *Partial absence of the finger* because in that term, the phalanx must be missing, whereas in this term it may be small, but present. Distal phalangeal lengths can be assessed subjectively by comparing the digit segment to the rest of the digit, to other normal digits in the patient, or to typical patients of the same age or build. Regarding the subjective definition, for individuals who do not have flexion creases, one may determine this by flexing the DIPJ and estimating the length of the terminal segment of the digit. Alternatively, one may be able to palpate the joint.

**Synonym:** Brachytelephalangy

Replaces: Short terminal phalanges; Short terminal finger

**Finger, Slender**

**Definition:** Digits are disproportionately narrow (reduced girth) for the hand/foot size or build of the individual (Fig. 16). *subjective*

**Comment:** The affected digits should be specified as described. The assessment of this finding is difficult when the digits are long. The bundled and pejorative term “arachnodactyly” is replaced by the separate descriptors *Long finger* and *Slender finger*.

**Synonym:** Narrow digits; Narrow fingers

Replaces: Thin digits; Arachnodactyly
Finger, Small

**Definition:** Significant reduction in both length and girth of the finger compared to the contralateral finger, or alternatively, compared to a typical finger size for an age-matched individual (Fig. 17). 

**Subjective:**

**Comment:** This is a bundled term, comprising Short finger and Slender digit, but it is so widely used that it is included. This term is only used if the finger has the normal number of phalangeal segments. An appropriate alternative term for the first digit is Small thumb, when it is the only digit affected. When a thumb and one or more fingers are affected, it may be more economical to specify “Small fingers, F1–5” instead of separately specifying “Small thumb” and “Small fingers F2–5.”

**Replaces:** Underdeveloped finger; Hypoplastic finger

---

**Fingers, Splayed**

**Definition:** Divergence of digits along the A/P axis (in the plane of the palm) (Fig. 18). 

**Subjective:**

**Comment:** This may be associated with Macrodactyly, but this should be assessed and coded separately. The affected digits should be specified.

**Synonym:** Spreading of the fingers

---

**Finger, Tapered**

**Definition:** The gradual reduction in girth of the digit from proximal to distal (Fig. 19). 

**Subjective:**

**Comment:** If the digits are not uniformly affected, the affected fingers should be specified. If not specified, it refers to all the digits of the hand.
Finger, thick: See Finger, broad
Finger, thin: See Finger, slender

**Finger, Ulnar Deviation of**

**Definition**: Angulation of the digit towards the posterior or post-axial axis (ulnar) of the limb (Fig. 20). *subjective*

**Comment**: The deviation is at the MCPJ. The affected digits should be specified. This finding is independent of Clinodactyly.

**FIG. 20. Ulnar deviation of finger, right hand, F4.** Note that this patient also has Clinodactyly of F4, ulnar. Note that his middle finger manifests Clinodactyly radial, F3, but that this finger is not deviated, demonstrating the distinction of these two features. See also Figure 45.

Finger, underdeveloped: See Finger, small
Finger, wide: See Finger, broad
Finger-like thumb: See Thumb, triphalangeal

**Fingertip, Broad**

**Definition**: Increased width of the distal segment of a finger (Fig. 21). *subjective*

**Comment**: This term should be reserved for use when the distal digit is significantly broader than the middle part. It should not be used if the digit has Clubbing or Macroductyly or if the entire finger is broad; instead use Finger, broad.

**Synonym**: Wide fingertips

**Replaces**: Spatulate fingertips

Fingertip, spatulate: See Fingertip, broad
Fingertip, wide: See Fingertip, broad
Fingertip pads, fetal: See Digit, prominent pad

**Foot, Absent**

**Definition**: The total absence of the foot, with no bony elements distal to the tibia or fibula (Fig. 22). *objective*

**Comment**: See Foot, partial absence of, for a related definition.

**Synonym**: Apodia

Foot, brachyductyly of: See Toe, short

**Foot, Broad**

**Definition**: A foot for which the measured width is above the 95th centile for age (Fig. 23). *objective OR*

A foot that appears disproportionately wide for its length. *subjective*

**Comment**: Note that the normative data [Malina et al., 1973; Hall et al., 2007] only include 4–16 year olds. Since foot width is still increasing at the 16-year-old age point on the graphs, extrapolation is not recommended. Therefore, persons more than 16 years of age or less than 4 years of age can only be assessed subjectively (until appropriate norms are identified or generated). The Hall et al. [2007] handbook (pp. 238–239) states that foot width should be measured from the medial aspect of the first MTPJ to the lateral aspect of the fifth MTPJ. The subjective definition should be used with caution in patients with Short feet. In persons with polydactyly that includes a supernumerary metatarsal, the measurement definition from Hall et al. [2007] would need to be modified to account for the supernumerary digit (i.e., if the patient had postaxial polydactyly, measure from the sixth MTPJ). It may be argued that...
Foot, Long

**Definition:** Foot length more than 2 SD above the mean for a newborn of 27–41 weeks gestation (Fig. 24). 

- Objective OR
  - Foot length above the 97th centile for individuals from birth to 16 years of age

- Subjective
  - A foot that appears longer than expected for age.

**Comment:** Note that for females over the age of 16 years, the curves are essentially flat by 15 years of age, so the 16-year-old norms and distribution can be used for older individuals [Anderson et al., 1956; Hall et al., 2007]. However, the male norms still have significant growth at 16 years, where the graph ends. Therefore, only subjective assessments can be made for males over the age of 16 years. For infants, the norms are specified in SD, per the primary source [Merlob et al., 1984]. Because of the wide range of normal for foot measurements, it may be hard to discriminate a long foot of normal width from that of a narrow foot of normal length, thus the subjective definition is substantially inferior. If the toes are also long, that should be coded separately.

Foot, Narrow

**Definition:** A foot for which the measured width is below the 5th centile for age (Fig. 25).

- Objective OR
  - A foot that appears disproportionately narrow for its length.

- Subjective
  - The normative data [Hall et al., 2007] only include 4–16 year olds. Since the foot width is still increasing at the 16 year old age point on the graphs, extrapolation is not recommended. Therefore, persons more than 16 years or less than 4 years of age can only be assessed subjectively (until appropriate norms are identified or generated). Foot width should be measured from the medial aspect of the first MTPJ to the lateral aspect of the fifth MTPJ [Hall et al., 2007]. It does not seem sensible to apply this term to individuals with polydactyly that includes a duplicated metatarsal.

Foot, Osseous Syndactyly of

**Definition:** Lateral (A/P) fusion of the digits (phalanges and/or metatarsals) by hard tissue (cartilage and/or bone) (Fig. 26).

- Objective

**Comment:** Metatarsal syndactyly can be demonstrated by the inability to independently manipulate the two metatarsals (see Polydactyly, mesoaxial for a description of this maneuver)
or the continuity of two metatarsals is demonstrated [Biesecker, 2007]. The description should include the bones that are fused. Osseous syndactyly is distinct from Symphalangism, where the phalanges are fused at the joint longitudinally (P/D axis). The exact nature of the bony anomaly may not be definable without X-ray evidence. Note that the unqualiﬁed term “syndactyly” is no longer allowed as it is unclear whether this refers to bony or cutaneous syndactyly.

Replaces: Syndactyly (without adjective)

**Foot, Partial Absence of**

**Definition:** An incomplete absence of the foot, with no bony elements distal to the tarsals, but with preservation of some or all of the tarsals (Fig. 27).

**Comment:** See Foot, absent for a related term.

**Foot, Postaxial Polydactyly of**

**Definition:** Presence of a supernumerary digit that is not a hallux (Fig. 28).

**Comment:** Although it is appealing to believe in many cases that the supernumerary (non-hallux) digit is the most ﬁbular, there may be no evidence for this. When the digit is de minimus, this seems reasonable by parsimony. When it is fully formed, associated with a supernumerary metatarsal, and fully functional, it may be impossible to determine which toe is supernumery. Nevertheless, the designation as postaxial is reasonable given the tradition of this designation. Postaxial polydactyly has been divided into two types: A (a fully formed digit) and B (digitus minimus, or a pedunculated, non-articulating, non-functional appendage). We recognize these subtypes but note that post-axial polydactyly actually represents a spectrum from type A to type B. When the type is indeterminate, no subtype is speciﬁed. The term uses the word “postaxial” instead of the embryologic “posterior” because the former is established in clinical medicine.

**Synonym:** Posterior polydactyly

Replaces: Posterior duplication of the limb/foot; ﬁbular polydactyly
Foot, Preaxial Polydactyly of De

Definition: Duplication of all or part of the first ray (Fig. 29).

Objective

Comment: There is a wide spectrum of this malformation. The mild end of the spectrum is a bifid (not cleft) nail or a distal phalanx of the hallux with a central lacuna or bifid tip. Broadened hallucs without a recognizable anterior/posterior cleft should be coded as Broad hallux. Note that toe number may be affected by the finding of preaxial polydactyly. See the introductory comments for guidance on digit numbering. It is optional to modify the term by adding “partial” to a duplicated hallux that involves less than the entire ray (metatarsal and both phalanges) and “complete” for a digit that has complete duplication of all three bones.

Synonym: Anterior polydactyly

Replaces: Anterior duplication of the limb; Tibial polydactyly

Foot, Rocker Bottom

Definition: The presence of both a “prominent heel” and a “convex contour of the sole” (Fig. 30).

Comment: The term is a bundled definition but is in common usage. For that reason, it was included by consensus of the group.

Foot, Short

Definition: A measured foot length that is more than 2 SD below the mean for a newborn of 27–41 weeks gestation (Fig. 31). Objective OR A foot that is less than the 3rd centile for individuals from birth to 16 years of age. Objective OR A foot that appears disproportionately short. Subjective

Comment: Note that for females over the age of 16 years, the curves are essentially flat by 15 years of age, so the 16-year-old norms and distribution can be used for older individuals [Anderson et al., 1956; Hall et al., 2007]. However, the male norms still show significant growth at 16 years, where the graph ends. Therefore, only subjective assessments can be made for older males. For infants, the norms are specified in SD, per the primary source [Merlob et al., 1984]. Foot length includes the relatively long calcaneus and tarsal bones as well as the metatarsals and first toe, and so the hand and foot measurements may reflect different growth abnormalities. Foot length should be interpreted with caution if the individual has either Pes cavus or Pes planus.
**Foot, Split**

**Definition**: Longitudinal deficiency of a digital ray of the foot except rays 1 or 5 (Fig. 32), *subjective*

**Comment**: The threshold for this deficiency should be that all of the phalanges in the ray are absent and at least part of the metatarsal. If just the phalanges that are missing, this should be coded as *Absent toe*. The absence of a central ray or rays frequently yields a cleft appearance of the foot. This term is preferred to “ectrodactyly of the foot” as this has been used (more appropriately) for various forms of phalangeal hypoplasia or amputation. “Lobster claw deformity” is neither descriptive nor acceptable. There is wide variation in the affected feet, but the common factor is the absent central ray, justifying *Split foot* as a clinical designation.

**Synonym**: Cleft foot

**Replaces**: Ectrodactyly; Lobster claw deformity

---

**Hallux, Absent**

**Definition**: The absence of both phalanges of a hallux and the associated soft tissues (Fig. 33), *objective*

**Comment**: This descriptor does not require absence of the metatarsal. The definition excludes partial absent hallux. Oligodactyly and hypodactyly are replaced with more specific terms to allow the distinction of loss of a preaxial digit (thumb or great toe) from loss of digits 2–5. When a great toe and one or more other toes are absent, it may be more economical to specify *Broad toes, T1–5* instead of separately specifying *Broad hallux* and *Broad toes T2–5*.

**Synonym**: Absent great toe

**Replaces**: Hypodactyly; Oligodactyly

---

**Hammertoe**

**Definition**: Hyperextension of the MTPJ with hyperflexion of the PIPJ (Fig. 35), *subjective*
Comment: This term replaces the phrase “dorsiflexed toe” as that term is an incomplete description of the abnormality [Young et al., 2005]. Note that this is not a synonym of mallet toe.

Replaces: Dorsiflexed toe

Hand, Absent

Definition: The total absence of the hand, with no bony elements distal to the radius or ulna (Fig. 36). objective

Comment: See Hand, partial absence for a related term.

Synonym: Acheiria

Hand, brachydactyly of: See Finger, short

Hand, cleft: See Hand, split

Hand, Clenched

Definition: All digits held completely flexed at the metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal joints (Fig. 37). subjective

Comment: Is distinguished from Camptodactyly, as that term may describe fewer than five digits of an eudactyous hand and does not involve the MCPJ. The digits may overlap when they lie flexed in the palm. It is not necessary to specify the overlapping fingers finding separately.

Hand, long: See Palm, long

Hand, narrow: See Palm, narrow

Hand, Osseous Syndactyly of

Definition: Lateral (A/P) fusion of the digits (phalanges and/or metacarpals) by hard tissue (cartilage and/or bone) (Fig. 38). objective

Comment: Metacarpal syndactyly can be demonstrated by either a maneuver whereby the two metacarpals cannot be independently manipulated by the examiner (see Mesoaxial polydactyly for a description of this maneuver). Phalangeal syndactyly (typically seen in what used to be called a “mitten hand”) may be difficult to demonstrate without X-rays. The description may include the bones that are fused. Osseous syndactyly is distinct from Symphalangy where the phalanges are fused at the joint longitudinally (P/D axis). See Mesoaxial polydactyly for discussion of the use of this term with polydactyly.

Replaces: Syndactyly (without adjective)
Hand, Postaxial Polydactyly of

Definition: Presence of a supernumerary digit that is not a thumb (Fig. 39). objective

Comment: Although it is appealing to believe in many cases that the supernumerary (non-thumb) digit is the most ulnar, there may be no evidence for this. When the digit is de minimus, this seems reasonable by parsimony. When it is fully formed with a supernumerary metacarpal and functional, it may be impossible to determine which of the fingers is supernumerary. Nevertheless, the designation as postaxial is reasonable given the tradition of this designation. Postaxial polydactyly has been divided into two types: A (a fully formed digit) and B (digitus minimus, or a pedunculated, non-articulating, non-functional appendage). We recognize these subtypes but note that post-axial polydactyly actually represents a spectrum from type A to type B. When the type is indeterminate, no subtype is specified.

Synonym: Posterior polydactyly
Replaces: Ulnar polydactyly; Posterior duplication of the limb/hand

Hand, Preaxial Polydactyly of

Definition: Duplication of all or part of the first ray (Fig. 40). objective

Comment: There is a wide spectrum of this malformation. The mild end of the spectrum is a bifid (not cleft) nail or a distal phalanx of the thumb with a central lacuna or bifid tip. Broadened thumbs
without a recognizable line of anterior/posterior clefting should be coded as Thumb, broad. Note that finger numbering may be affected by the finding of preaxial polydactyly. See the introductory comments for guidance on digit numbering. It is optional to modify the term by adding “partial” to a duplicated thumb that involves less than the entire ray (metacarpal and both phalanges) and “complete” for a digit that has complete duplication of all three bones.

**Synonym:** Anterior polydactyly

**Replaces:** Anterior duplication of the limb/hand; Radial polydactyly

**Hand, Radial Deviation of**

**Definition:** Divergence of the longitudinal axis of the hand at the wrist in an anterior (radial) direction (Fig. 41). subjective

**Comment:** This finding may be associated with a short or absent radius, but that is not required and should be coded separately. The term “clubhand” is replaced because it was felt to be pejorative.

**Replaces:** Radial clubhand; Clubhand

**Hand, Split**

**Definition:** Longitudinal deficiency of a digital ray of the hand except rays 1 or 5 (Fig. 43). subjective

**Comment:** The threshold for this deficiency should be that all of the phalanges of a central ray (not F1 or F5) are absent and at least part of the associated metacarpal (if it is just the phalanges that are missing, this should be coded as Absent finger). The absence of a central ray or rays frequently yields a cleft appearance of the hand. This term is preferred to “ectrodactyly of the hand” as this has been used (more appropriately) for forms of phalangeal hypoplasia or amputation but it is acknowledged that this is a commonly used term. “Lobster claw deformity” is neither descriptive nor acceptable due to its pejorative nature. There is wide variation in the hands of affected individuals, but the common factor seems to be the absence of the central ray, justifying the use of Split hand as a clinical designation.

**Synonym:** Cleft hand

**Replaces:** Ectrodactyly; Lobster claw deformity
terminology group members. However, this definition was the consensus.

**Hand, Ulnar Deviation of**

**Definition:** Divergence of the longitudinal axis of the hand at the wrist in a posterior (ulnar) direction (Fig. 45). **subjective**

**Comment:** May be associated with a short or absent ulna, but that is not required.

**Replaces:** Ulnar clubhand; Clubhand

**Heel, Prominent**

**Definition:** Exaggerated or marked projection of the posterior pole of the heel (Fig. 46). **subjective**

**Comment:** No sensible thresholds or landmarks could be identified for this finding so the examiners must rely on their experience.

**Hypodactyly:** See **Finger, absent; Hallux, absent; Thumb, absent; Toe, absent**

**Hypophalangy:** See **Finger, partial absence of; Toe, partial absence of**

**FIG. 44. Trident hand, left. Note that this patient also has Tapered fingers, but that is not required for the finding.**

**FIG. 45. Ulnar deviation of the right hand. Note that this patient also has Ulnar deviation of the fingers, F2–3 and Overlapping fingers F45.**

**Hypothenar Eminence, Small**

**Definition:** Reduced muscle mass on the ulnar side of the palm (Fig. 47). **subjective**

**Comment:** The reduced soft tissue is typically abductor digiti minimi and flexor digiti minimi brevis. This may be even more difficult to discern than is **Small thenar eminence,** but the same considerations outlined in that definition apply.

**FIG. 46. Prominent heels.**

**FIG. 47. Small hypothenar eminence, left hand. Note that this patient also has Short fingers F2–5 and Radial deviation of fingers F2–3.**

**Limb, anterior duplication of:** See **Foot, preaxial polydactyly of; Hand, preaxial polydactyly of**

**Lobster claw deformity:** See **Foot, split; Hand, split**

**Macrodactyly**

**Definition:** Significant increase in the length and girth of most or all of a digit compared to its contralateral digit (if unaffected) or compared to what would be expected for age/body build. The increased girth is accompanied by an increase in the dorso-ventral dimension AND the lateral dimension of the digit (Fig. 48). **subjective**

**Comment:** There are no recognized standards for the girth of digits and this finding can vary substantially in the population. This...
assessment relies on the judgment of the examiner to recognize when the difference between the expected and the observed is significant. The affected digits should be specified. The definition does not mandate the component of the increased size (bone, connective tissue, etc.), but should exclude edema. The requirement that the girth is most, or all, of the digit is intended to distinguish this from broad fingertips. This should be distinguished from Broad fingers or Broad fingertips as the girth is circumferential in macrodactyly, which is not the case for broad fingers or broad fingertips, which are increased only (or predominantly) in their lateral (A/P) width.

Replaces: Megalodactyly; Pachydyactyly
Megalodactyly: See Macrodyactyly

**Metacarpal, Short**

**Definition:** Diminished length of one or more metacarpal bones in relation to the others of the same hand or to the contralateral metacarpal (Fig. 49). *subjective*

**Comment:** Short metacarpals can involve any of the metacarpal bones, and the affected ray should be specified. The assessment of isolated short metacarpal can be made by viewing the dorsum of the hand when clenched. Note that if metacarpals F2–5 are affected, the correct term is Short palm. The affected digits should be specified.

**Metatarsal, Short**

**Definition:** Diminished length of a metatarsal, with resultant proximal displacement of the associated toe (Fig. 50). *subjective*

**Comment:** This is a subjective assessment and one generally compares the position of the MTP joint to that of the contralateral digit or the putatively shortened ray in proportion to the other rays. The affected digits should be specified.
**Metatarsus Adductus**

**Definition:** The metatarsals are deviated medially (tibially) (Fig. 51). **subjective**

**Comment:** This is considered subjective, as there is no objective threshold for the finding.

![Fig. 51. Metatarsus adductus, left. Note that this patient also has a Sandal gap.](image)

**Palm, Broad**

**Definition:** For children from birth to 4 years of age the palm width is more than 2 SD above the mean; for children from 4 to 16 years of age the palm width is above the 95th centile (Fig. 52). **objective OR subjective**

**Comment:** Hand width is measured across the palm at the level of the MCPJ (radial aspect of the second MCPJ to the ulnar aspect of the fifth MCPJ) [Hall et al., 2007]. Caution is advised with the subjective assessment as short metacarpals can mimic a broad palm. In persons with polydactyly that includes a supernumerary metacarpal, that should be separately coded and the measurement technique from Hall et al. [2007] would need to be modified to account for the supernumerary digit (i.e., with postaxial polydactyly, measure to the sixth MCPJ).

**Synonym:** Wide palm

**Palm, Long**

**Definition:** For children from birth to 16 years of age the length of the palm is more than the 97th centile (Fig. 53). **objective OR subjective**

**Comment:** Palm length standards are found in Feingold and Bossert [1974] and Hall et al. [2007]. The palm is measured from the distal wrist flexion crease to the flexion crease of the F3 MCPJ. The term “long hand” should not be used as it is a bundled definition of

---

**FIG. 50. Short metatarsals, T3,4, bilateral.**

**FIG. 51. Metatarsus adductus, left. Note that this patient also has a Sandal gap.**

**FIG. 52. Broad palm, left. Note that this patient had normal palm length, so that this is not an example of a short palm with only an apparently broad palm.**

**FIG. 53. Long palms. Note that this patient had normal palm length, so that this is not an example of a narrow palm with only an apparently broad palm. Note that this image illustrates a number of other features, which are not delineated. See also Figures 63 and 85.
two readily separable terms, **Long fingers** (which, as noted above, can itself be a bundled term) and **Long palm**.

Replaces: Long hand

**Palm, Narrow**

**Definition:** For children from birth to 4 years of age, the palm width is more than 2 SD below the mean; for children from 4 to 16 years of age the palm width is below the 5th centile (Fig. 54). **objective OR**

The width of the palm appears disproportionately narrow for its length. **subjective**

**Comment:** Palm width is measured across the palm at the level of the MCPJ (radial aspect of the F2 MCPJ to the ulnar aspect of the F5 MCPJ). Norms are specified in Hall et al. [2007]. Caution is advised for the subjective assessment as the breadth may be in the normal range with disproportionately increased length, which appears narrow. This finding may be associated with elongated/slender limbs in general, but that finding does not bear on the coding of this feature. Proximal narrowing may indicate small thenar or hypothenar eminences. This term replaces “narrow hands” as that term may leave the impression that it includes the thumb, which it does not.

Replaces: Narrow hand

**Palm, Short**

**Definition:** For children from birth to 16 years of age the length of the palm is less than the 3rd centile (Fig. 55). **objective OR**

The length of the palm appears relatively small compared to the finger length or the limb length. **subjective**

**Comment:** Palm length is measured as described in Feingold and Bossert [1974] and Hall et al. [2007]. This term is reserved for individuals with shortening of all four metacarpals 2–5. Individuals with fewer than four shortened metacarpals (in a eudactylyous hand, the metacarpals of F2–5) should be coded as **Short metacarpal**. See the entry for **Small hand** for a discussion of this finding. “Short hand” should not be used as it is a bundle of two readily separable terms, **Short fingers** (which, as noted above, can itself be a bundled term) and **Short palm**.

Replaces: Short hand

**Palm, wide:** See **Palm, broad**

**Pes Cavus**

**Definition:** The presence of an unusually high plantar arch (Fig. 56). **subjective**

**Comment:** This is a subjective definition for which we could identify no thresholds or standards. Examiners must rely on their experience to make this assessment.

Replaces: High arch

**Pes Planus**

**Definition:** A foot where the arch is in contact with the ground or floor when the individual is standing (Fig. 57). **objective OR**

In a patient lying supine, a foot where the arch is in contact with the surface of a flat board pressed against the sole of the foot by the examiner with a pressure similar to that expected from weight bearing. **objective OR**
The height of the arch is reduced. *subjective*

**Comment:** The second definition was conceived by this working group based on a common-sense analysis of how one could generalize the first definition to a non-ambulatory individual. There are no data on this assessment and we invite feedback on its utility.

**Replaces:** Dropped arches; Fallen arches

Pollex: See various terms under the heading of “Thumb”

Polydactyly, anterior: See Foot, preaxial polydactyly of; Hand, preaxial polydactyly of

Polydactyly, central: See Polydactyly, mesoaxial

Polydactyly, insertional: See Polydactyly, mesoaxial

Polydactyly, intercalary: See Polydactyly, mesoaxial

**Polydactyly, Mesoaxial**

**Definition:** The presence of a supernumerary finger or toe (not a thumb or hallux) involving the third or fourth metacarpal/tarsal with associated osseous syndactyly (Fig. 58). *objective*

**Comment:** To determine this finding in the hand without using a radiograph [see Allanson et al., 2009b this issue, for a discussion of the use of radiographs], the examiner grasps two adjacent metacarpals, each with a thumb and index finger on the dorsum and palmar aspect of the hand and attempts to independently maneuver the metacarpals. If they cannot be independently manipulated, osseous syndactyly is present [Biesecker, 2007]. Consensus could not be reached on the utility of the bundled term of Mesoaxial polydactyly, so it is specified in the terminology for the time being. It is arguably superior to describe the two separate terms (e.g., Osseous syndactyly of F3,4 (metacarpal) and Postaxial polydactyly of the hand, type A). The term “insertional polydactyly” may be less desirable because the word “insertion” implies mechanism, which is unlikely to be an insertion. The utility of this term should be reviewed over time.

**Synonym:** Central polydactyly; Intercalary polydactyly

**Replaces:** Insertional polydactyly

**Polydactyly, Mirror Image**

**Definition:** A hand or foot with more than five digits that has a recognizable A/P axis of symmetry. The axis can lie within a normally formed or partially duplicated digit resembling a middle finger, index finger, thumb, toe, or hallux. Alternatively, the axis can be in an interdigital space with a flanking pair of digits that resemble a middle finger, index finger, thumb, toe or hallux. The most lateral digits on each side of the hand typically resemble fifth fingers/toes (Fig. 59). *subjective*

**Comment:** This is considered to be a subjective assessment. Although polydactyly is obviously objective, the assessment of the
A/P symmetry component is arguably subjective. It may be associated with a forearm that has two ulnae, but this is not required for the finding to be made.

Polydactyly, fibular: See Foot, postaxial polydactyly of
Polydactyly, posterior: See Foot, postaxial polydactyly of; Hand, postaxial polydactyly of
Polydactyly, radial: See Hand, preaxial polydactyly of
Posterior duplication: See Foot, postaxial polydactyly of; Hand, postaxial polydactyly of
Radial clubhand: See Hand, radial deviation of

Ray, Absent
Definition: The absence of all phalanges of a digit and the associated metacarpal/metatarsal (Fig. 60). objective

Comment: This descriptor requires, in addition to the absence of the phalanges, absence of the metacarpal or metatarsal. Compare this to Absent thumb, Absent hallux, Absent toes, and Absent fingers. In most cases, the absent metacarpal or metatarsal can be assessed by palpation, but in some cases radiographs may be useful. This definition excludes Split hand and Split foot. If a patient meets the definition of either of those terms, they should be used and Absent ray should not.

Sandal Gap
Definition: A widely spaced gap between the first toe (the great toe) and the second toe (Fig. 61). subjective
Comment: The term is a subjective one but should be used when the gap between the toes is as wide as the second toe is broad.

Sole, Convex Contour of
Definition: The contour of the foot in lateral profile has a convex shape (Fig. 62). subjective
Comment: This term was established as the convex contour may occur without the prominent heel, which together comprise the bundled term Rocker bottom foot.

Strebolocactyly: See Camptodactyly
Syndactyly: See Fingers, cutaneous syndactyly of; Toes, cutaneous syndactyly of; Foot, osseous syndactyly of; Hand, osseous syndactyly of

FIG. 60. A: Absent ray, right hand. Note that this patient also has Short distal phalanges of the fingers and Short nails. B: Absent ray, left foot. Note that this patient also manifests a Broad hallux, which should be coded separately.

FIG. 61. A: Sandal gap, right foot. B: Sandal gaps. See also Figures 48 and 51.
Thenar Eminence, Small

**Definition:** Reduced palmar soft tissue mass surrounding the base of the thumb (Fig. 63). *subjective*

**Comment:** The reduced soft tissue is typically abductor pollicis brevis and flexor pollicis brevis muscle bulk. Detection of this abnormality entails clinical judgment, especially in mild cases. The bulk of the muscle mass around the base of the thumb is diminished, and there may be a mild concavity over the volar aspect of the first metacarpal. When the deficiency is unilateral, comparison between the two hands will point up the often-subtle change in contour of the thenar muscles. If the degree of involvement is severe, the palm may taper in width proximally.

**Replaces:** Thenar hypoplasia

---

Thumb, Absent

**Definition:** The absence of both phalanges of a thumb and the associated soft tissues (Fig. 64). *objective*

**Comment:** This descriptor does not require absence of the metacarpal. This definition excludes *Partial absence of the thumb*. Oligodactyly and hypodactyly have been replaced with more specific terms to allow the distinction of loss of a thumb from loss of F2–5. The term “oligodactyly” is replaced because oligodactyly refers to the digits that remain, and so “oligodactyly of the thumb” or “oligodactyly F1” is nonsensical.

**Replaces:** Hypodactyly; Oligodactyly

---

Thumb, Adducted

**Definition:** In the resting position, the tip of the thumb is on, or near, the palm, close to the base of the fourth or fifth finger (Fig. 65). *subjective*

**Comment:** The thumb is both flexed and adducted. Lesser degrees of adduction than that specified here may warrant the use of this term, for example, when the tip of the thumb lies near the base of F2 or F3.

---

Thumb, Broad

**Definition:** Increased thumb width without increased dorso-ventral dimension (Fig. 66). *subjective*

**Comment:** There is substantial variability in thumb width and it may be difficult to determine the threshold for this finding. As it is commonly bilateral, comparing to the contralateral digit will not be

---

Terminal phalanx, short: See *Finger, short distal phalanx of; Hallux, short distal phalanx of; Thumb, short distal phalanx of; Toe, short distal phalanx of*
helpful. Some have suggested using a definition of thumb width measured at the IP joint of >1.5X the width of the index finger measured at the DIPJ, but no data to support this are available. Note that this term should not be used for thumbs that meet the definition for **Macrodactyly**. There is a standard for thumb width at birth of 9.8 ± 0.5 mm (1 SD) (see Saul et al. [1998], p 45), but this is not widely used and does not take into account gestational age, or a body who is small for gestational age. The assessment is difficult when the thumb is short.

**Synonym:** Broad pollex; Wide thumb

**Thumb, Partial Absence of**

**Definition:** The absence of a phalangeal segment of a thumb (Fig. 68). *objective*

**Comment:** The part that is absent may be specified. The “distal” modifier specifies the loss of the distal phalanx; clinically this is defined by the absence of the nail. The “proximal” modifier specifies the loss of the proximal phalanx. This finding is distinct from **Short thumb**.

**Replaces:** Hypophalangy

**Thumb, Proximal Placement of**

**Definition:** Thumb placement index >0.55 (Fig. 69). *objective*

The base of the thumb appears closer to the wrist than is typical.*subjective.

**Thumb, Hitchhiker**

**Definition:** With the hand relaxed and the thumb in the plane of the palm, the axis of the thumb forms an angle ≥90 degrees with the long axis of the hand (Fig. 67). *objective*

**Replaces:** Abducted thumb

**Thumb, Triphalangeal**

**Definition:** The absence of a phalangeal segment of a thumb (Fig. 68). *objective*

**Comment:** The part that is absent may be specified. The “distal” modifier specifies the loss of the distal phalanx; clinically this is defined by the absence of the nail. The “proximal” modifier specifies the loss of the proximal phalanx. This finding is distinct from **Short thumb**.

**Replaces:** Hypophalangy

**Thumb, Adducted**

**Definition:** The thumb is in the plane of the palm (Fig. 65). *subjective*

**Thumb, Broad**

**Definition:** The thumb is wider than normal (Fig. 66). *subjective*

**Thumb, Proximal Placement of**

**Definition:** Thumb placement index >0.55 (Fig. 69). *objective*

The base of the thumb appears closer to the wrist than is typical.*subjective.
Comment: The technique for the thumb placement index is described in detail [Malina et al., 1973; Hall et al., 2007]. Briefly, the thumb placement index is the distance from the proximal crease of the index finger to the angle of the first interdigital space divided by the distance from the proximal crease of the index finger to the wrist flexion crease at the base of the thumb. This term should not be used with Preaxial polydactyly.

Thumb, Triphalangeal
Definition: A thumb with three phalanges in a single, proximo-distal axis (Fig. 70). objective
Comment: The requirement for a single PD axis relates to the issue that partial forms of Preaxial polydactyly may comprise a partially duplicated thumb with two distal phalanges and a single proximal phalanx. That finding is instead coded as a mild form of thumb polydactyly. Note that this finding can be readily assessed by examination and/or physical manipulation of the thumb.

Replaces: Digitalized thumb; Finger-like thumb

Tibial polydactyly: See Foot, preaxial polydactyly of

Toe, Absent
Definition: The absence of all phalanges of a non-hallux digit of the foot and the associated soft tissues (Fig. 71). objective
Comment: Note that this descriptor does not require absence of the metatarsal. The affected digits should be specified, although this may be difficult. In the latter case, the specified term should be “Absent toe, right foot” (with the identity of the missing toe not specified). This definition excludes Partial absence of the toe. This absent toe definition excludes Absent hallux because it is usually obvious if the missing digit is a hallux. It may be difficult to distinguish an absent toe from two digits with an extreme degree of osseous and cutaneous syndactyly. If all digits are missing, the term Adactyly should be used. The term Oligodactyly may be used as a synonym, but only in situations where the missing digit is not specified. For example, to state that a patient has Oligodactyly F5 is incorrect because the oligodactyly refers to the digits that are present and the F5 refers to the digit that is absent. This is awkward and could be confused with higher order deficiencies.

Replaces: Hypodactyly
Synonym: Oligodactyly

Toe, Broad
Definition: Visible increase in width of the non-hallux digit without an increase in the dorso-ventral dimension (Fig. 72). subjective
Comment: Note that the girth may be increased in a broad toe, but this must be distinguished from Macrodactyly because in Macrodactyly the length is increased as well. The affected digit should be specified. Note that this assessment may be difficult when the toes are short. This term is not used for the first digit, see Broad hallux. If all five digits are broad, both terms should be used for that patient.

Toes, Cutaneous Syndactyly of
Definition: A soft tissue continuity in the A/P axis between adjacent foot digits that involves at least half of the P/D length of one of the two involved digits (Fig. 73). objective OR
A soft tissue continuity in the A/P axis between two digits of the foot that does not meet the prior objective criteria. subjective
Comment: The digits (or part of) are joined together by soft tissue that is not normally present between the digits at that point in the P/D axis. The definition of toe syndactyly is subtly different from
that of the hand. The definition used for the hands was thought to be
difficult with toes, as the phalangeal lengths are small and an
assessment of the degree of syndactyly is impractical. A modifier
of “complete” may be used if the syndactyly extends to the distal end
of the nail bed. The affected digits should be specified.

Replaces: Syndactyly (without specification); Zygodactyly

Toe, great; all terms referring to the first toe are listed under
Hallux or Toe

Toe, hypoplastic: See Toe, small

Toe, Long

Definition: Digits that appear disproportionately long compared to
the foot (Fig. 74). subjective

Comment: This finding must be distinguished from digits that
are thin but of normal length and that of a short mid and hind foot
with normal digit lengths. The affected digits should be specified.
The term arachnodactyly should be discontinued as it may be
considered disparaging to liken a patient’s digits to that of a spider.
If only a subset of the digits of a limb is lengthened, the affected
digits should be specified.

Replaces: Arachnodactyly

Toe, narrow: See Toe, slender

Toes, Overlapping

Definition: Describes a foot digit resting on the dorsal surface of an
adjacent digit when the foot is at rest (Fig. 75). objective

Comment: This descriptor is ordered depending on which toes
are involved. The overriding toe is labeled, as specified in the
introduction (item 3): for example, T3,4. The ordering of the
numbers specifies which toe is dorsal, that is, with dorsum of the foot facing upward the toe on top is/are recorded first separated by a comma from the digit that is/are overlapped. Toes that are laterally deviated, but do not rest on top of adjacent toes should be coded as Clinodactyly.

**Toe, Partial Absence of**

**Definition**: The absence of a phalangeal segment of a toe or hallux (Fig. 76). *objective*

**Comment**: The part that is absent may be specified. The “distal” modifier specifies the loss of the distal phalanx; clinically this is defined by the loss of the nail. The “proximal” modifier specifies the loss of the proximal or middle phalanx with the nail still present. It may be difficult to know which phalanx is absent without X-rays and even then, the missing bone may not be identified (note no attempt is made to distinguish missing middle from proximal phalanges). In this situation the location adjective should be removed. Note that this finding is distinct from *Short toes*, (which are reduced in length but have the normal number of phalangeal segments). *Partial absence of the hallux* is an alternative term that may be used for the first toe.

**Replaces**: Hypophalangy

**Toe, Short**

**Definition**: Digits that appear disproportionately short compared to the foot (Fig. 77). *subjective*

**Comment**: This finding must be distinguished from digits that are of increased girth but of normal length and that of a long mid- and hind foot with normal digit lengths. The affected digits should be specified as described in the introductory comments. Note that we designate brachydactyly as a synonym, but this use of the term is distinct from the use of the same word in Bell’s classification (see *Short fingers*).

**Synonym**: Brachydactyly of the foot

**Toe, Short Distal Phalanx of**

**Definition**: Short distance from the end of the toe to the most distal interphalangeal crease or DIPJ flexion point (Fig. 78). *subjective*

**Comment**: This term differs from *Partial absence of the toe* because in that term the phalanx must be missing, whereas here it may be small, but present. Relative shortening of the distal phalanges of the toes can be harder to assess than in the fingers, as they are normally quite short. Distal phalangeal lengths can be assessed subjectively by comparing that digit segment to the rest of the digit, to other normal digits in that patient, or to typical patients of that age or build. Although flexion creases can be useful in the hand
(see Short distal phalanx of the finger), this may not be practical in the foot. Alternatively, one may be able to palpate the joint.

**Synonym:** Brachytelephalangy  
**Replaces:** Short terminal phalanx of the hallux

### Toe, Slender

**Definition:** Digits are disproportionately narrow (reduced girth) for the hand/foot size or build of the individual (Fig. 79). *subjective*

**Comment:** The affected digits should be specified. The assessment of this finding is difficult when the digits are long. The bundled and pejorative term “arachnodactyly” is replaced by the separate descriptors *Long toe* and *Slender toe.*

**Synonym:** Narrow digit; Narrow toe  
**Replaces:** Thin digits; Arachnodactyly

### Toe, Small

**Definition:** Significant reduction in both length and girth of the toe compared to the contralateral toe, or alternatively, compared to a typical toe size for an age-matched individual (Fig. 80). *subjective*

**Comment:** This is an acknowledged bundled term. There are no standards for this finding, clinical judgment must be used. The affected toes should be numbered.

### Toes, Splayed

**Definition:** Divergence of digits along the A/P axis (in the plane of the sole) (Fig. 81). *subjective*

**Comment:** This may be associated with *Macroductyly* but this should be recorded separately. The affected digits should be specified.

**Synonym:** Spreading of the digits

### Toe, Tapered

**Definition:** The gradual reduction in girth of the digit from proximal to distal (Fig. 82). *subjective*
Comment: If the digits are not uniformly affected, they should be specified. If not specified, it refers to all the digits of the foot.

Toe, thick: See Toe, broad
Toe, thin: See Toe, slender
Toe, wide: See Toe, broad

Toes, Widely Spaced

Definition: An overall widening of the spaces between the digits (Fig. 83). subjective

Comment: This description is based on the width of the gap between the toes. It is usually used when the width of the toes remains normal rather than to describe a situation where the toes are thin or narrow. This term should not be used for the situation where the finding is limited to a gap between T1,2 (see Sandal gap).

Ulnar clubhand: See Hand, ulnar deviation of
Ulnar polydactyly: See Hand, postaxial polydactyly of
Zygodactyly: See Fingers, cutaneous syndactyly of; Toes, cutaneous syndactyly of

CREASES

See Fig. 84 for a diagram of the three major palmar creases. One end of the distal transverse crease is on the radial side of the hand proximal to the base of the index finger or the second interdigital space and extends toward the ulnar side of the palm. One end of the proximal transverse crease begins on the radial (anterior) side of the palm in the first interdigital space and extends across the palm towards, but does not typically reach, the ulnar side of the palm. One end of the thenar crease is typically coincident with the radial part of the proximal transverse crease and extends proximally toward the wrist.

Crease, simian: See Palmar crease, single transverse

Palmar Crease, Absent

Definition: The absence of a major crease of the palm (distal transverse crease, proximal transverse crease, or thenar crease) (Fig. 85). subjective

Comment: This term is not used to describe the “missing” crease in the case of a patient with a single transverse palmar crease.
**Palmar Crease, Bridged**

**Definition:** A crease that connects the proximal and distal transverse palmar creases (Fig. 86).

**Comment:** The crease that connects the two transverse creases should itself be more in the transverse (antero-posterior) than longitudinal (proximo-distal) orientation.

**Synonym:** Transitional palmar crease

**Palmar Creases, Decreased**

**Definition:** Poorly defined or shallow palmar creases (Fig. 87).

**Comment:** This is a completely subjective term, requiring an experienced observer to distinguish this finding from common variation in creases. It refers to an overall diminution of the creases, not to diminution of a subset of the creases.

**Replaces:** Smooth palms

**Palmar Crease, Deep**

**Definition:** Excessively deep creases of the palm (Fig. 88).

**Comment:** Like the term Decreased palmar creases, this assessment requires an experienced observer to distinguish this from common crease variation. One view is that deep creases are those in which lint could still get stuck, even if the palm is fully opened.

**Palmar Crease, Single Transverse**

**Definition:** The distal and proximal transverse palmar creases are merged into a single transverse palmar crease (Fig. 89).
Comment: The term “five finger crease” for the proximal transverse crease is sourced from [Hall et al., 2007]. The subtypes of single transverse palmar crease are not recognized here [Hook et al., 1974]. Replaces the term “Simian crease” as that term is disparaging and less descriptive.

Replaces: Simian crease

Palmar crease, transitional: See Palmar crease, bridged
Palms, smooth: See Palmar creases, decreased

Plantar Crease, Deep Longitudinal

Definition: Narrow, paramedian longitudinal depressions in the plantar skin of the forefoot (Fig. 90).

Comment: As described for a number of other terms, there are no thresholds or guides for the clinician to assess this finding. Instead, the clinician must rely on experience.

Sydney Crease

Definition: Extension of the proximal transverse crease (five finger crease) to the ulnar edge of the palm (Fig. 91). objective

Comment: The proximal transverse (five finger) crease starts on the radial side of the hand near the base of the index finger and extends toward the ulnar side of the palm, but does not reach the ulnar side. In this finding, the crease extends completely to the ulnar margin of the palm.

NAILS

Brachyonychia: See Short nail
Koilonychia: See Nail, concave
Micronychia: See Nail, small

Nail, Bifid

Definition: A digit with two nails, with at least some soft tissue between them (Fig. 92). subjective

Comment: See the Preaxial polydactyly definition for a related point on bifid nails. The affected digits should be specified. If the patient has a partially duplicated digit with two completely separate nails, this term should not be used.

Nail, Concave

Definition: The natural longitudinal (P/D) convex arch is not present or is inverted (Fig. 93).

Comment: This often results in a saucer- or spoon-shaped nail and the free edge of the nail is typically everted. The affected digits should be specified. Note that the bundled term koilonychia is an abnormal shape of the fingernail where the nail has raised ridges and is thin and concave.

Replaces: Koilonychia; Spoon shaped nails

Nails, dystrophic: The term “dystrophic” is no longer accepted as a descriptor for nails with an unusual morphology. The specific characteristic should be described.

Nails, Fused

Definition: A nail plate that has a longitudinal separation with partially separated nails, each with a separate lateral radius of curvature (Fig. 94). subjective
Comment: The use of the word “fused” is not meant to imply that pathogenetically these nails were separate and merged. This is distinct from a split or cleaved nail, where the two parts of the nails share the same radius of curvature. The involved digits should be specified. It may be associated with underlying syndactylous digits, but these are coded separately.

**Nail Narrow**

**Definition:** Decreased width of nail (Fig. 96). *subjective*

**Comment:** Standards for newborns were identified [Seaborg and Bodurtha, 1989] but none were identified for older persons. Therefore, for newborns, this could be objective, but this is rarely measured. The affected digits should be specified.

**Nail Pits**

**Definition:** Small (typically about 1 mm or less in size) depressions on the dorsal nail surface (Fig. 97). *subjective*

**Comment:** The affected digits should be specified.

Nail, hypoplastic: See *Nail, small*

**Nail, Hyperconvex**

**Definition:** When viewed on end (with the digit tip pointing toward the examiner’s eye) the curve of the nail forms a tighter curve of convexity (Fig. 95). *subjective*

**Comment:** No objective standards were identified for this finding. Another way to describe this finding is to say that the observed curve has a smaller radius than does the typical nail. The affected digits should be specified.
**Nail, Ridged**

**Definition:** Longitudinal, linear prominences in the nail plate (Fig. 98). subjective

**Comment:** There may be only one, or several ridges. The affected digits should be specified.

**Nail, Short**

**Definition:** Decreased length of nail (Fig. 99). subjective

**Comment:** Use this designation when the length is reduced but the width is normal. Standards for newborns were identified [Seaborg and Bodurtha, 1989] but none were identified for older persons. Therefore, for newborns, this could be objective, but this is rarely measured. The affected digits should be specified.

**Synonym:** Brachyonychia

**Nail, Small**

**Definition:** A nail that is diminished in length and width (Fig. 100). subjective

**Comment:** This is a bundled term but is retained because of its common usage. This term may be used when the width and length are reduced, although it may be preferable to code the patient as having both Short nail and Narrow nail. Standards for newborns were identified [Seaborg and Bodurtha, 1989] but none were identified for older persons. Therefore, for newborns, this could be objective, but this is rarely measured. The affected digits should be specified.

**Replaces:** Hypoplastic nails

**Synonym:** Micronychia

**Nail, Split**

**Definition:** A nail plate that has a longitudinal separation and the two sections of the nail share the same lateral radius of curvature (Fig. 101). subjective

**Comment:** This is distinct from Fused nail, where the two parts of the nail have a separate radius of curvature. The affected digits should be specified as described in the introductory comments.

**Nails, spoon shaped:** See Nail, concave

**Nail, Thick**

**Definition:** Nail that appears thick when viewed on end (Fig. 102). subjective

**Comment:** No objective standard for nail thickness could be identified although an unsupported claim suggests that nails are 0.5 mm in females and 0.6 mm in males (http://www.emedicine.com/orthoped/topic421.htm). There is a build up of
keratin causing the nail plate to lift away from the nail bed. The thickened nail plate is usually very hard.

Replaces: Onychogryposis; Pachyonychia

Nail, Thin

Definition: Nail that appears thin when viewed on end (Fig. 103).

Comment: No objective standard for nail thickness could be identified. An unsupported claim suggests that nails are 0.5 mm in females and 0.6 mm in males (http://www.emedicine.com/orthoped/topic421.htm). Thin nails are usually brittle, may easily fray, or break at the free edge. Thin nails usually grow slowly but this definition does not require slow growth of the nail. Note that the term koilonychia is an abnormal shape of the fingernail where the nail has raised ridges and is thin and concave. Since it include other characteristics besides thin nails, it should not be used to indicate this. The affected digits should be specified.
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